TEN ITEM PERSONALITY INVENTORY (TIPI)

Reference:

Gosling, S. D., Rentfrow, P. J., & Swann, W. B.(2003). A very brief measure of the Big-Five personality domains. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 37, 504-528.

Description of Measure:

A ten-item measure of the Big Five personality dimensions – 2 items for each of the 5 dimensions. Each item is rated on a 7-point scale that ranges from 1 (disagree strongly) to 7 (agree strongly). The measure was created to be finished within a minute or so, though it sacrifices some of the reliability and validity found in longer measures of the Big Five personality dimensions (e.g., BFI).

Abstracts of Selected Related Articles:

Heller, D., Komar, S., & Lee, W. B. (2007). The dynamics of personality states, goals and well-being. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 33, 898–910.

The authors examine the within-individual dynamics of Big-5 personality states over time in people's daily lives. They focus on the magnitude of this within-individual variability, and the associations between personality states, short-term goals, and subjective well-being states. A total of 101 undergraduate students participated in a 10-day interval-contingent diary study. The authors' findings, based on multilevel procedures, establish a considerable amount of within-individual variability that is both (a) equal or larger than that observed between individuals and (b) larger or similar to other constructs assessed with a state approach (e.g., self-esteem and mood). In addition, both neuroticism and extraversion states are systematically related to the short-term pursuit of approach—avoidance goals. Finally, support was obtained for the mediating role of both neuroticism and extraversion states of the association between goals and subjective well-being. In sum, the authors' findings testify to the importance and utility of studying within-individual variability in personality states over time.

Chamorro-Premuzic, T., Bennett, E., & Furnham, A. (2007). The happy personality: Mediational role of trait emotional intelligence. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 42, 1633-1639.

This study examined the relationship between the Big Five personality traits (Gosling et al., 2003), trait emotional intelligence (EI) (Petrides & Furnham, 2001) and happiness (Argyle et al., 1989) in a sample of 112 (61 female) student and non-student participants. Strong dispositional determinants of happiness were identified. In line with previous findings, four of the Big Five, namely stability, extraversion, conscientiousness, and agreeableness, were positively correlated with both happiness and trait EI, which explained 18% of unique variance (over and above age and the Big Five) in happiness. Furthermore, a significant amount of shared variance between happiness and the Big Five was explained by trait EI, which partly mediated the paths from stability and conscientiousness to happiness, and fully mediated the link between agreeableness and happiness. Limitations and implications are discussed.



Westmaas, J., Moeller, S., & Woicik, P. B. (2007). Validation of a measure of college students' intoxicated behaviors: Associations with alcohol outcome expectancies, drinking motives, and personality. *Journal of American College Health*, 55, 227-237.

Objective: The authors aimed to develop a measure of college students' intoxicated behaviors and to validate the measure using scales assessing alcohol outcome expectancies, motives for drinking, and personality traits. Participants and Method Summary: The authors administered these measures and an inventory describing 50 intoxicated behaviors to 198 college students and conducted factor analysis on intoxicated behaviors. Logistic regression models examined relationships between intoxicated behavior dimensions and expectances, motives, and personality traits. Results: Self-reported behaviors during intoxication episodes were reducible to 3 basic dimensions that formed reliable and valid scales: social, antisocial, and emotionally labile intoxication. Conclusions: Researchers can use the Intoxicated Behaviors Inventory to evaluate the effectiveness of interventions targeting drinking or to identify college students at risk for problematic consequences of alcohol use. In addition, results point to the need for community efforts to encourage more moderate drinking among college students.

Scale (taken directly from Gosling et al., 2003):

Disagree strongly	Disagree moderately	Disaguee a little	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree a little	Agree moderately	Agree strongly
1	3	3	4	5	6	7
I see myself as:						
1. Extraverted, enthusiastic,						
2 Critical, quarrelsome.						
3Dependable, self-disciplined,						
4 Anxious, easily upset,						
5. Open to new experiences, complex.						
6. Reserved, quiet,						
7Sympathetic, wann.						
8 Disorganized, careless.						
9 Calm, emotionally stable,						
10 Conventional, uncreative.						

Scoring:

TIPI scale scoring ("R" denotes reverse-scored items): Extraversion: 1, 6R; Agreeableness: 2R, 7; Conscientiousness; 3, 8R; Emotional Stability: 4R, 9; Openness to Experiences: 5, 10R.